arXiv:1512.08747v1 [math.HO] 28 Dec 2015

Yet Another Proof of Sylvester's Determinant Identity

Jan Vrbik[1] and Paul Vrbik[2]

[1]*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Brock University, Canada*
[2]*School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, University of Newcastle, Australia*

December 31, 2015

Abstract

In 1857 Sylvester stated a result on determinants without proof that was recognized as important over the subsequent century. Thus it was a surprise to Akritas, Akritas and Malaschonok when they found only one English proof — given by Bareiss 111 years later! To rectify the gap in the literature these authors collected and translated six additional proofs: four from German and two from Russian [1]. These proofs range from long and "readily understood by high school students" to elegant but high level.

We add our own proof to this collection which exploits the product rule and the fact that taking a derivative of a determinant with respect to one of its elements yields its cofactor. A differential operator can then be used to replace one row with another.

1 Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{A}$ be an $n \times n$ matrix with entries $a_{i,j}$ for $1 \le i, j \le n$ and denote by $\mathbb{A}_{i|k}$ the matrix $\mathbb{A}$ with Row i and Column k deleted. Similarly let $\mathbb{A}_{i,j|k,\ell}$ be the matrix obtained by deleting Rows i and j *and* Columns k and ℓ:

$$\mathbb{A}_{i|k} := \begin{bmatrix} & a_{k,1} & \\ a_{i,1} & & a_{i,n} \\ & a_{k,n} & \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbb{A}_{i,j|k,\ell} := \begin{bmatrix} & a_{k,1} & & a_{\ell,1} & \\ a_{i,1} & & & & a_{i,n} \\ a_{j,1} & & & & a_{j,n} \\ & a_{k,n} & & a_{\ell,n} & \end{bmatrix}.$$

The purposes of this paper is to prove the following Theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Sylvester's Determinant Identity)**.** For a square matrix $\mathbb{A}$,

$$|\mathbb{A}| \cdot \left|\mathbb{A}_{i,j|k,\ell}\right| = \left|\mathbb{A}_{i|k}\right| \cdot \left|\mathbb{A}_{j|\ell}\right| - \left|\mathbb{A}_{i|\ell}\right| \cdot \left|\mathbb{A}_{j|k}\right|. \tag{1}$$

2 Proof

Let us now prove (1) by induction. As the sign of the determinant flips for each row or column that is permuted, it is clear that it is sufficient to show

$$|\mathbb{A}| \cdot \left|\mathbb{A}_{1,2|1,2}\right| = \left|\mathbb{A}_{1|1}\right| \cdot \left|\mathbb{A}_{2|2}\right| - \left|\mathbb{A}_{1|2}\right| \cdot \left|\mathbb{A}_{2|1}\right| \tag{2}$$

to prove (1) — this simplifies the presentation somewhat.

Recalling $|\mathbb{A}| = 1$ when $\mathbb{A}$ is 0×0, it is easy to verify (2) explicitly when $n = 2$

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} \end{vmatrix} \cdot 1 = a_{2,2} \cdot a_{1,1} - a_{2,1} \cdot a_{1,2} = \left|\mathbb{A}_{1|1}\right| \cdot \left|\mathbb{A}_{2|2}\right| - \left|\mathbb{A}_{1|2}\right| \cdot \left|\mathbb{A}_{2|1}\right|.$$

Extend $\mathbb{A}$ by an extra row and column and denote this new $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix by $\mathbb{A}^+$. Further, let $\mathbb{A}^{(i)}$ be the matrix obtained by replacing the i^{th} row of $\mathbb{A}$ with the last row of $\mathbb{A}^+$ (the row that was added) less the 'corner' element $a_{n+1,n+1}$.

We need to prove

$$\left|\mathbb{A}^+\right| \cdot \left|\mathbb{A}^+_{1,2|1,2}\right| = \left|\mathbb{A}^+_{1|1}\right| \cdot \left|\mathbb{A}^+_{2|2}\right| - \left|\mathbb{A}^+_{1|2}\right| \cdot \left|\mathbb{A}^+_{2|1}\right| \tag{3}$$

assuming the induction hypothesis (2) holds.

We have $|\mathbb{A}|$ is a polynomial in n^2 variables with $n!$ terms comprised of a product of n distinct $a_{i,j}$'s — in other words $|\mathbb{A}|$ is linear in each $a_{i,j}$. Therefore the $a_{i,j}$ cofactor can be written as $\frac{\partial}{\partial a_{i,j}} |\mathbb{A}|$. Expanding the determinant along the (say) j^{th} column:

$$|\mathbb{A}| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,j} \cdot (-1)^{i+j} \left|\mathbb{A}_{i|j}\right| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,j} \cdot \frac{\partial |\mathbb{A}|}{\partial a_{i,j}}. \tag{4}$$

Alternatively, using the i^{th} row gives

$$|\mathbb{A}| = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i,j} \cdot \frac{\partial\,|\mathbb{A}|}{\partial a_{i,j}} \tag{5}$$

and this implies that

$$\left|\mathbb{A}^{(i)}\right| = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{n+1,j} \cdot \frac{\partial\,|\mathbb{A}|}{\partial a_{i,j}} \tag{6}$$

The last equivalence defines, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, a linear differential operator $D^{(i)}$ with constant coefficients, namely

$$D^{(i)} := \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{n+1,j} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{i,j}}.$$

which obeys the product rule and commutes with $D^{(\ell)}$. Moreover

$$D^{(\ell)} \left|\mathbb{A}^{(i)}\right| = 0 \tag{7}$$

because, when $\ell \neq i$, the LHS results in a determinant of a matrix having two identical rows (the ℓ^{th} and j^{th}). And, when $\ell = i$, the second $D^{(i)}$ is differentiating with respect to $a_{i,j}$ elements which are no longer part of the $D^{(i)}\,|\mathbb{A}|$ polynomial.

2.1 Main identity

Expanding the determinant of $\mathbb{A}^{+}$ along its last column, we get

$$\left|\mathbb{A}^{+}\right| = a_{n+1,n+1}\,|\mathbb{A}| - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,n+1} D^{(i)}\,|\mathbb{A}| \tag{8}$$

since $-D^{(i)}\,|\mathbb{A}|$ is now the cofactor of $a_{i,n+1}$.

It is important to realize that the last formula remains correct for *each* determinant of (3) because (6) automatically 'skips' (by contributing zero) the deleted elements.

2.2 Proving (3)

Using (8) to expand the determinants of (3) produces

$$\left(a_{n+1,n+1}\,|\mathbb{A}| - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,n+1} D^{(i)}\,|\mathbb{A}|\right) \cdot \left(a_{n+1,n+1}\left|\mathbb{A}_{1,2|1,2}\right| - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,n+1} D^{(i)}\left|\mathbb{A}_{1,2|1,2}\right|\right) \tag{9}$$

$$= \left(a_{n+1,n+1} \left|\mathbb{A}_{1|1}\right| - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,n+1} D^{(i)} \left|\mathbb{A}_{1|1}\right| \right) \cdot \left(a_{n+1,n+1} \left|\mathbb{A}_{2|2}\right| - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,n+1} D^{(i)} \left|\mathbb{A}_{2|2}\right| \right)$$
$$- \left(a_{n+1,n+1} \left|\mathbb{A}_{1|2}\right| - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,n+1} D^{(i)} \left|\mathbb{A}_{1|2}\right| \right) \cdot \left(a_{n+1,n+1} \left|\mathbb{A}_{2|1}\right| - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,n+1} D^{(i)} \left|\mathbb{A}_{2|1}\right| \right)$$

Collecting terms proportional to $a_{n+1,n+1}^2$ results in (2); collecting terms proportional to $a_{i,n+1}a_{j,n+1}$ results in

$$\begin{aligned} & D^{(i)} |\mathbb{A}| \cdot D^{(j)} \left|\mathbb{A}_{1,2|1,2}\right| + D^{(j)} |\mathbb{A}| \cdot D^{(i)} \left|\mathbb{A}_{1,2|1,2}\right| \\ & \quad = D^{(i)} \left|\mathbb{A}_{1|1}\right| \cdot D^{(j)} \left|\mathbb{A}_{2|2}\right| + D^{(j)} \left|\mathbb{A}_{1|1}\right| \cdot D^{(i)} \left|\mathbb{A}_{2|2}\right| \\ & \quad\quad - D^{(i)} \left|\mathbb{A}_{1|2}\right| \cdot D^{(j)} \left|\mathbb{A}_{2|1}\right| - D^{(j)} \left|\mathbb{A}_{1|2}\right| \cdot D^{(i)} \left|\mathbb{A}_{2|1}\right| \end{aligned}$$

which is the same as $D^{(i)}D^{(j)}$ applied to (2), and thereby assumed correct (use the product rule twice and recall that $D^{(i)}D^{(j)}$ applied to a single determinant results in zero).

Finally, terms proportional to $a_{n+1,n+1}a_{i,n+1}$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} & - D^{(i)} |\mathbb{A}| \cdot \left|\mathbb{A}_{1,2|1,2}\right| - |\mathbb{A}| \cdot D^{(i)} \left|\mathbb{A}_{1,2|1,2}\right| \\ & \quad = D^{(i)} \left|\mathbb{A}_{1|2}\right| \cdot \left|\mathbb{A}_{2|1}\right| + \left|\mathbb{A}_{1|2}\right| \cdot D^{(i)} \left|\mathbb{A}_{2|1}\right| - D^{(i)} \left|\mathbb{A}_{1|1}\right| \cdot \left|\mathbb{A}_{2|2}\right| - \left|\mathbb{A}_{1|1}\right| \cdot D^{(i)} \left|\mathbb{A}_{2|2}\right| \end{aligned}$$

which is the same as $-D^{(i)}$ applied to (2).

We have thus been able to cancel out all terms of (9); the extended identity is thus verified.

References

[1] A G Akritas, E K Akritas, G Malaschonok: "Various poofs of Sylvester's (determinant) identity" *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, 1996, **42** #4, 585-593